

RFP 22-002 Multi-Step Evaluation Process Results

Stage 1 - November 5 and November 17, 2021

For this stage, the district oversight team evaluated the following sections: curricular/design, digital resources/tools sections, and agency qualifications, experience, and support. The Committee did not consider fee proposals at this stage.

✓ Agencies Selected to Advance to Stage 2

- Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (91.25)
- Twig Education (90.00)
- Discovery Education (88.13)
- McGraw-Hill Education (83.75)
- Accelerate Learning (76.25)

X Agencies Not Selected to Advance to Stage 2

- Discovery Mystery Science (61.25)
- Cengage Learning (52.50)
- School Specialty (48.75)
- Learning A-Z (36.88)

X Agencies Did Not Meet RFP Requirements

- Savvas Learning
- Up Brainery Technology

Stage 1

Category	Weight
Curricular/Design Requirements	60%
Digital Resources/Tools	35%
Agency Qualifications, Experience, and Support	5%

Stage 2 - December 1 and December 8, 2021

For this stage, the district selection committee evaluated the following sections: curricular/design; digital resources/tools sections; agency qualifications, experience, and support; and fee proposals. Based on the Committee's evaluation (Stage 2), four respondents were selected to advance to Stage 3, which includes an oral presentation.

✓ Agencies Selected to Advance to Stage 3

- Twig Education (81.71)
- Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (77.81)
- Discovery Education (73.04)
- McGraw-Hill Education (71.98)

X Agencies Not Selected to Advance to Stage 3

• Accelerate Learning (64.12)

Stage 2

Category	Weight		
Curricular/Design Requirements	35%		
Digital Resources/Tools	30%		
Agency Qualifications, Experience, and Support	5%		
Fee Proposals	30%		

Selected to Advance to Stage 3

Twig Education		Overal	Rating	Rating	Score	Possible	
Curricular/Design Requirements	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.5	30.9	35.0
Digital Resources/Tools	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.5	26.0	30.0
Qualifications, Experience, and Support	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.0	3.8	5.0
Fee Proposal	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.8	21.0	30.0

SCORE
81.7

Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Overall Rating				Rating	Score	Possible
Curricular/Design Requirements	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.8	24.7	35.0
Digital Resources/Tools	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.7	20.1	30.0
Qualifications, Experience, and Support	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.4	3.0	5.0
Fee Proposal	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	4.0	30.0	30.0

SCORE
77.8

Discovery Education			l Rating		Rating	Score	Possible
Curricular/Design Requirements	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.0	26.6	35.0
Digital Resources/Tools	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.3	24.4	30.0
Qualifications, Experience, and Support	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.7	3.3	5.0
Fee Proposal	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.5	18.8	30.0

SCORE
73.0

McGraw-Hill Education		Overal	Rating	Rating	Score	Possible	
Curricular/Design Requirements	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.0	26.3	35.0
Digital Resources/Tools	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.8	20.9	30.0
Qualifications, Experience, and Support	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.1	3.8	5.0
Fee Proposal	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.8	21.0	30.0

SCORE	
72.0)

Not Selected to Advance to Stage 3

Accelerate Learning	Overall Rating			Rating	Score	Possible	SCORE	
Curricular/Design Requirements	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.1	18.8	35.0	
Digital Resources/Tools	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.3	17.4	30.0	64.1
Qualifications, Experience, and Support	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	2.0	2.5	5.0	04.1
Fee Proposal	Highly Effective	Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective	3.4	25.5	30.0	