

Rubric for Evaluating RFP Responses #20-007

Vendor \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator \_\_\_\_\_

Total Points \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation Criteria	Technical Points				
Experience and References (schedule C)	5- Very Limited	10- Limited	15- Meets Criteria	20- Above Criteria	25- Well Above Criteria
Quality Control (schedule B)	2- Lack of Evidence	4- Limited Development	6- Beginning to Develop	8- Evidence of Partially Developed	10- Well Developed
Structure and Framework	2- Lack of Evidence	4- Limited Development	6- Beginning to Develop	8- Evidence of Partially Developed	10- Well Developed
Alignment with District Strategic Plan	6- Lack of Evidence	12- Limited Development	18- Beginning to Develop	24- Evidence of Partially Developed	30- Well Developed

*To be completed separately from the Technical Points; sealed costs will be opened and evaluated pending the Evaluation of the Technical Points.*

Evaluation Criteria	Financial Points <b>*Support is defined as alignment to the Personalized Professional Development Cycle outlined within the RFP</b>				
Cost	5- Limited support, average and/or high cost	10- Average support, high cost	15- Average support, competitive cost	20- Most support, high cost	25- Most support, competitive cost